17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
6	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7		
8		
9	CHRISTOPHER WAGNER,	
10	Plaintiff,	No. C 13-04952 WHA
11	v.	No. C 13-00054 YGR
12	SPIRE VISION LLC, et al.	
13	Defendants.	SUA SPONTE JUDICIAL REFERRAL FOR PURPOSE OF
14		DETERMINING RELATIONSHIP
15		
16	Plaintiff recently identified Wagner v. Sp.	ire Vision LLC, 13-00054 YGR, in a recent

Plaintiff recently identified *Wagner v. Spire Vision LLC*, 13-00054 YGR, in a recent filing. The Court was unaware of this earlier action as both parties stated in their respective case management statements that no related actions existed (Dkts. Nos. 34, 36).

The complaint filed in the earlier action is identical to the complaint filed in this action. The same plaintiff is suing the same set of defendants for allegedly sending the same set of spam emails. As both actions "concern substantially concern the same parties" and events, this action is referred to Judge Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers to determine relation. **CIVIL L.R. 3-12.**

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 9, 2014.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE